

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/764,011	01/17/2001	Kevin W. Burrows	206584	3590	
23460 75	590 03/05/2003				
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			EXAMINER		
180 NORTH ST	NTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 49 FETSON AVENUE		FILIPCZYK,	FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R	
CHICAGO, IL	00001-0780		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2171		

DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

C.		1		\$22				
	Application No.	-\	Applicant(s)	_4/				
,	09/764,011		BURROWS ET AL					
" Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	•				
	Marc R Filipczyk							
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		t with the c	2171 orrespondence add	iress				
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, many within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) i.e., cause the application to become	y a reply be time f thirty (30) days MONTHS from to e ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cou	mmunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	January 2001 .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	his action is non-final.		•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application	n							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	<u>.</u>	۸.						
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.	The morn consideration.	OEC	>					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-5,10-19 and 24-28 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 6-9 and 20-23 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accented or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
7) Claim(s) 6-9 and 20-23 is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	_						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			*				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received ir	Application	n No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-					

Application/Control Number: 09/764,011

Art Unit: 2171

ج ^ا ا

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to application filed on January 17, 2001 in which claims 1-28 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5, 8, 13, 14, 19, 22, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 5 and 19, the segment "list that acts as a wrapper" is indefinite. What does the wrapper do?

Regarding claims 8 and 22, "descendent nodes include data representing the number..." is indefinite. Where is the data stored and how is it tracked?

Regarding claims 13(a) and 27(a), the fragment "to the left/right of a parent element" is indefinite. What is a parent element? How is it determined and allocated?

Regarding claims 14 and 28 depend from claims 13 and 27 and therefore inherit the deficiencies of those claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 09/764,011

Art Unit: 2171

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 10-19 and 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "INTRODUCTION TO ALGORITHMS" by <u>Cormen</u>, <u>Leiserson</u> and <u>Rrivest</u> (hereinafter "CLR") in view of "Indexing Large Metric Spaces for Similarity Search Queries" by <u>Bozkaya</u> and <u>Tolga</u> (hereinafter "BT").

Regarding claims 1-4, 11-18 and 25-28, CLR discloses creating and searching (page 388, CLR) a balanced binary tree using nodes and assigning values (page 386, fig. 19.4, CLR), but does not expressly teach a method for creating a binary tree from a list of elements, wherein the list includes left and right side groupings.

(Note: creating a binary balanced tree involves inserting left and right descendent nodes)

However, BT teaches indexing large metric spaces for similarity search queries (title, BT) in which binary mvp-trees are constructed (binary trees) by subdividing a list into two lists of equal cardinality at the median.

(Note: binary myp-tree may be constructed to contain all the limitations as a binary tree, see page 9, 8T)

Further, selecting a side for processing, where for example left side groupings are in preference to right side groupings was a common programming technique before the Applicant's claimed invention. Hence, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time. the invention was made to create binary tree structures by reading and subdividing the list by use of a median as taught by BT to effectively construct a tree structure including all the elements in the list.

,Application/Control Number: 09/764,011

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claims 5 and 19, a linked list is inherent from a list relating to a structure.

Regarding claims 10 and 24, an ordered linked list is a sorted linked list which is inherent from a list that is divided by a median, hence the list must be pre-sorted.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 7, 9, 20, 21 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 8 and 22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art by Bozkaya Tolga and Ozsoyoglu Meral cited in the reference has been page numbered by Examiner.

The prior art made of record not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patent is cited to further show the state of art with respect to generating binary trees.

U.S. Patent No. 5,463,777 of Bialkowski et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,011

Art Unit: 2171

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc R Filipczyk whose telephone number is 703-305-7156.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular

communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

MF

February 27, 2003

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100